	Case 2:07-mj-00014-MJB	Document 7	Filed 02/02/07	Page 1 of 3
01				
01				
02				
03				
04				
05				
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON			
07	AT SEATTLE			
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CAS	SE NO. 07-014M	
09	Plaintiff,	)		
10	v.	) ) DET	TENTION ORDE	R
11	MARTINEZ KILGORE,	)		
12	Defendant.	)		
13		)		
14	Offenses charged:			
15	Felon in Possession of a Firearm; Possession of Cocaine Base with Intent to Distribute			
16	Date of Detention Hearing: February 2, 2007			
17	The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and			
18	based upon the factual findings and statement of reasons for detention hereafter set forth, finds			
19	that no condition or combination of conditions which defendant can meet will reasonably assure			
20	the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of other persons and the community.			
21	FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION			
22	1. Defendant has been charged with the offense of Possession of Cocaine Base with			
	DETENTION ORDER 15.13 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i) Rev. 1/91 PAGE 1			

05

11

14

17

22

Intent to Distribute. The maximum penalty of this offense is in excess of ten years. There is therefore a rebuttable presumption against defendant as to both dangerousness and flight risk, under 18 U.S. C. §3142(e). He is also charged with the offense of Felon in Possession of a Firearm.

- 2. Defendant has a long criminal history, including prior drug offenses and firearms charges. In 1996 he was convicted of Robbery in the 1st Degree and sentenced to 126 months in prison. He was released from prison in January 2006. The certificate of probable cause for the robbery charge indicated that the defendant had amassed 41 warrants for his arrest over the previous four years. While the 1996 robbery charges were pending, the defendant was also convicted of 2nd degree assault, reckless endangerment in the 1st degree, and residential burglary, the circumstances of which charges indicate a significant propensity for violence.
- 3. The defendant was on state Department of Corrections supervision at the time of the instant charges. He has a history of failing to appear and failing to comply.
- 4. Taken as a whole, the record does not effectively rebut the presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

## It is therefore ORDERED:

- (1) Defendant shall be detained pending trial and committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a correction facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
- (2) Defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with

counsel;

- (3) On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which defendant is confined shall deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding; and
- (4) The clerk shall direct copies of this Order to counsel for the United States, to counsel for the defendant, to the United States Marshal, and to the United States Pretrial Services Officer.

DATED this 2nd day of February, 2007.

Mary Alice Theiler

United States Magistrate Judge